

# KENTUCKY



# TRIBUNE.

JNO F. ZIMMERMAN & SON,  
Publishers.

(Devoted to News, Politics, Internal Improvement, and General Information.)

TWO DOLLARS PER ANNUM  
In Advance.

VOL. XII.—NO. 49.

## KENTUCKY TRIBUNE

PUBLISHED EVERY FRIDAY, BY

JNO. F. ZIMMERMAN & SON.

OFFICE—Third street, north of Main.

TERMS.

Per annum, in advance,	\$2 50
Within six months,	2 50
At the end of the year,	3 00
Announcing a candidate for any office,	1 00
Continuing same until election,	1 00

Advertisement fees to be paid in advance.

A liberal discount made to yearly advertisers.

No paper discontinued until all arrears are paid, except at the option of the publishers.

ADVERTISING.

For each square of 12 lines or less, first insertion, \$1 00  
Each additional insertion, 1 50  
Post and Procession Notices, each, 1 50  
Announcing a candidate for any office, 1 00  
Continuing same until election, 1 00  
Advertisement fees to be paid in advance.

Advertisers of a personal character, charged double.

Notices of Religious and Public Meetings, Marriages and Deaths, published free.

Obituary Notices, exceeding 12 lines in length, charged as advertisements.

JOB-WORK

Of every description, promptly attended to in the best style, on reasonable terms.

## SPECIAL NOTICES.

BALFOUR COUNCIL, No. 77, of the American Order, meets every Thursday evening in the Franklin Hall, at 8 o'clock.

By order of the President.

Public Speaking.

W. C. ANDERSON, American Elector for Boone county, will address the people at the Union Meeting House, Saturday, July 21.

Salt River Meeting House, Saturday, July 28.

Mouth of Scrub Grass, Friday, Aug. 3.

Other gentlemen will be present, and will address the people—amongst them, the candidates for the Legislature. Speaking to come at 1 o'clock, P. M.

I will reply to Mr. Anderson at the above places, at the same time, in opposition to the K. N. party.

B. D. WILLIAMS.

Mr. Morehead's Appointments.

Hon. C. S. MOREHEAD, the American candidate for Governor, is prosecuting the canvas with great energy. The following are his remaining appointments:

London, Laurel co., Monday, July 23d.

Manchester, Clay co., Tuesday, July 24th.

Barbourville, Knob co., Wednesday, July 25th.

Cumberland Ford, Knob co., Thursday, July 26th.

Mountain Top, Friday, July 27th.

John Lewis's, on Poor Fork, Harlan co., Saturday, July 28th.

Whitchurch, Letcher co., Monday, July 30th.

Pikeston, Pike co., Tuesday, July 31st.

Prestonburg, Floyd co., Wednesday, August 1st.

Jackson, Breathitt co., Thursday, August 2d.

Bonville, Owley co., Friday, August 3d.

Irvin, Estill co., Saturday, August 4th.

The hour of speaking at all the foregoing places, will be 1 o'clock, P. M.

Mr. Jas. G. HARDY, candidate for Lieut. Governor, will address the people of the State at the following times and places:

Columbia, Saturday, July 21st.

London, Tuesday, July 24th.

Springfield, Wednesdays, July 25th.

Campbellsville, Thursday, July 26th.

Centre (or Lafayette), Saturday, July 28th.

Brownsville, Monday, 30th.

Litchfield, Tuesday, July 31st.

Hartford, Wednesday, August 1st.

Calhoun, Thursday, August 2d.

South Carrollton, Friday, August 3d.

Morganstown, Saturday, August 4th.

We are authorized to announce A. G. TALBOT, Esq., a candidate for Congress in this, the 4th Congressional District.

FOR STATE TREASURER.

We are authorized to announce Col. Jas. H. GAMMON, of Boyle county, a candidate for the office of State Treasurer of Kentucky at the ensuing August election.

## SPECIAL NOTICE.

All persons indebted to us, will be notified, that their accounts are due, and I want the money all settled up.

June 22d f.

J. C. HEWEY.

FISHING Poles, Lines and Hooks, for sale by J. C. HEWEY.

FINE Pocket Knives, Razors &c., can be found at J. C. HEWEY'S.

10 BOXES English Dairy Cheeses for sale by J. C. HEWEY.

FRESH Figs: Sultana, M. R. and Layer Raisins, for sale by J. C. HEWEY.

ENGLISH and American Pickles, assorted sizes, for sale by J. C. HEWEY.

Rifle and Blasting Powder.

SUPERIOR Rifle and Blasting Powder, for sale by the keg or retail. Also, Shot, Caps, Fishing Tackle, &c., by

may 18 J. C. M'KAY.

Sundries.

WOODEN, Willow and Stone Ware;

Cotton, Grass and Hemp Rope; Corse

Boots and Shoes, etc., for sale low by

may 18 J. C. M'KAY.

Oldham's Spun Cotton.

OLDHAM'S Spun Cotton, assorted num-

O bers, for sale by

may 18 J. C. M'KAY.

A Polite Request.

I WOULD respectfully inform those of my customers who are indebted to me, that I need the money on their accounts, and they will greatly oblige me by paying up immediately. I hope this notice will be attended to.

may 18 '55 J. C. M'KAY.

FLOUR—20 Barrels No. 1 FLOUR for

sale at \$1 50 per bbl., at

J. B. AKIN'S.

No. 1 Chewing Tobacco.

6 DIFFERENT brands of superior Virginia Chewing Tobacco, for sale by

april 13 L. DIMMITT.

Fruit Bottles.

Supply of quart, half and gallon Fruit

Bottles, with corks just received at

J. B. AKIN'S.

The Say Night stampers, who have aban-

doned the charge of secession against the Ameri-

can Party, now contend that Protestantism has been guilty of persecution in all ages; that it has al-

ways been bigoted and intolerant, and is still reeking with "the blood of the martyrs."

In beautiful contrast with this, they present the liberal policy of Lord Baltimore in his Catholic Colony of Maryland. Let us drive out Protestantism and institute Popery in our Republic!

The Pope tolerates all religions.

IRON, HARDWARE, &c.

OUR new stock of Iron and Hardware is

very large and complete. We can supply

anything in that line.

President Pierce was at Cape May the

Fourth and made a speech.

WELSH & NICHOLS.

march 30, '55

Lou. Jour.

THE Administration proscribes some of the

Know-Nothing Postmaster, and other Know-

Nothing Postmasters proscribe the Adminis-

tration. The Postmaster at Welden, N. C., an old-

line Democrat, who, some time ago, joined the

Know-Nothings, has become so disgusted with the administration that he seems to hold office

under it. Hear how he talks to the thing:

WELDON, N. C. June 28, 1855.

To Hon. Postmaster General:

"I feel it my duty as a member of the Ameri-

can party to tender you my resignation as post-

master at this place; and also to express my dis-

approval of the manner in which this govern-

ment has been administered, so as to give you

opportunity of appointing in my place some

foreign Catholic, which, it appears from your an-

tecdotes, you think more justly entitled to it

than those born upon our own soil. I wish this

resignation to be accepted without further cere-

mony, as I intend no longer to be saddled with

the disgrace of holding an office under an ad-

ministration which has been so repugnant to the

best interests and prosperity of the Union. I

not only disapprove of the administration be-

cause it has abandoned the doctrines and teach-

ings of the Jefferson and Jackson school of De-

mocracy, but it has also given up the principles

and aims of our forefathers, and its course is

now to be directed towards the destruction of

the Union, and the subjugation of the South.

THE Democratic says, that, if the Germans

and Irish and other foreigners shall, by their

unit, this action, succeed in enabling the other emi-

nies of the American party to put it down, they

will be as much exasperated as Lafayette by

unborn generations.

So let all the Dutchmen

and the Irishmen work with their whole might

in the full confidence that the name of each

mother's son will, for centuries to come,

be ranked with that of the illustrious French

marquis in our national annals.

French marquis in our national annals.

French marquis in our national annals.

French marquis in our national annals.

French marquis in our national annals.

French marquis in our national annals.

French marquis in our national annals.

French marquis in our national annals.

French marquis in our national annals.

French marquis in our national annals.

French marquis in our national annals.

French marquis in our national annals.

French marquis in our national annals.

# The Kentucky Tribune.

DANVILLE, KY.:

Friday, July 20, 1855.

REPLY TO A. G. TALBOT, ESQ.

DEAR SIR.—Your letter of the 11th inst., to the *Somerset Democrat*, would seem to call for a reply from us. Our letter to you, giving an exposition of your connection with the Council at this place, was respectful in its tone, and temperate in its language. Your letter is replete with the bitterest denunciations, and wears throughout a most uncongressional tone—by no means such an one as we could have expected from a man boasting of his connection with his church, and publicly proclaiming himself to be a “Christian gentleman.” But to this we shall take no exception, much less retort in the same style. We have enough of charity to pardon much to disappointed ambition, and mortified pride, and shall content ourselves, therefore, by calling your attention to the points of issue between us, and after offering our proofs let the public decide whether we have misstated your connection with the order or have attempted to deceive the public yourselves.

We are not surprised at your effort to make the public believe that you are a poor, unfortunate and “persecuted” man. That sort of refuge is not original with you. The culprit when running from pursuit, is often the first to cry, “stop thief!” and many a criminal before this day has appealed from the “persecution of my enemies.” But with what sort of face, Dear Sir, can you talk of “persecution?” What are the facts? Did you not leave it without compulsion? and in less than three days from your withdrawal, and before one word had been said or published about you, did you not commence a systematic, violent, and most vindictive assault upon the American party and its principles, the leading men in it, the object of its organization, and the whole machinery of its operations? And from that day to this, have you ever omitted an opportunity, day or night, publicly or privately, to do the same thing—using always the strongest and most offensive expressions of which you were master? And do you expect, therefore, that the members of that party, composed of natives of the soil exclusively, fully as patriotic as you can claim to be, and certainly as honest in their aspirations for the welfare of the country alone, as you are believed to be, would quietly submit to this, and that too, from one who had “sworn” to be their friend? The privilege of defending itself is allowed to the vilest worm that crawls in the dust, and how much more to the *American people*, whose only object is to defend themselves from the aggressions of aliens, and preserve the integrity of these States, and the safety of the Union.

More than one half of your letter, Mr. TALBOT, is made up of an attempt to create falsehoods, and to run off into immaterial facts, having no connection with the circumstances to which we allude in our letter, nor to the character of your connection with the order, and occurring outside of it.

You seem to complain particularly that we did not state all the facts respecting the connection of Mr. ANDERSON and Mr. COWAN with the matter of fixing upon the day for the assemblage of the Convention at Liberty. Would it not strike you, Sir, as altogether irrelevant and improper, and, if you please, unfair, to mention in that connection a matter with which you had nothing to do, occurring outside of the Council, for which you were not responsible, and which whether right or wrong, could not injure to your prejudice.

Whether their Representatives disengaged properly their duty was for the Council itself to judge. And that they did act properly, and that their whole conduct in relation thereto was adjudged to be fair, notwithstanding you opposed it vehemently, as you say in your letter, is evinced by the fact that the Council ordered the ballot to be taken as proposed by its Representatives.

It is true that your urgent and particular request, that resolution was, on your motion, subsequently suspended, no because of any “indignation” felt by the Council, but simply, as you state in the preamble of your resolution, because “principally of the great improbability of all the Councils receiving the order in time, and because, too, as some of the counts as yet have no Councils, or but very few if any members, and the number of members being added to the Councils, increasing, and our principles rapidly and widely extending, and if the vote be taken thus early, and the nomination made, no opportunity to express an opinion on the subject will or can be afforded to a number of those who will be expected and required to vote for the nomination at the August election.”

This preamble which you have not denied, was written by you and by you personally offered to the Council, and if any other reason for suspending the vote was to be offered you failed to state it, or if any others were in fact the controlling motives, you either disclosed them by stating others, or lacked the morally courage to face the music, and in the process of year “screws” friends, to stand the truth. And did you really think when you penned the paragraph in relation to the suspension of the resolutions that you were correctly reporting the facts, when you stated that they were “passed by an overwhelming majority every man in full Council voting for a save and except ANDERSON and his abettors?” Don’t you know that not one soul that vote was given against them, and that Mr. ANDERSON himself voted for them. And if “Mr. ANDERSON and his abettors” had been against them, don’t you know they would have been voted down. Were not Mr. ANDERSON’s abettors largely in the majority as the result of the balloting showed?

But again, how can you complain that undue advantage was taken of you, and that your friends had no fair chance when in fact the whole matter was discussed in “full Council?” In truth “the way of the transgressor is hard.” But be this as it may, you expressed your

self entirely satisfied with the result and in proof of this fact, we append hereto the statement of B. C. MORRISON, Esq. What is his character for truth, Mr. TALBOT? It shows, also, that you recognised yourself as a candidate for the nomination of the party, which you now bitterly denounce, as late as the 9th day of February, 1855. But we do not wish to prolong this article. We wish now, in conclusion, to call your attention to the points at issue between us.

1st. We charged that you had, through your public addresses, and by application to the officers of the Council, expressed a wish for an exposition of your connection with the Council at this place, was respectful in its tone, and temperate in its language. Your letter is replete with the bitterest denunciations, and wears throughout a most uncongressional tone—by no means such an one as we could have expected from a man boasting of his connection with his church, and publicly proclaiming himself to be a “Christian gentleman.” But to this we shall take no exception, much less retort in the same style. We have enough of charity to pardon much to disappointed ambition, and mortified pride, and shall content ourselves, therefore, by calling your attention to the points of issue between us, and after offering our proofs let the public decide whether we have misstated your connection with the order or have attempted to deceive the public yourselves.

We are not surprised at your effort to make the public believe that you are a poor, unfortunate and “persecuted” man. That sort of refuge is not original with you. The culprit when running from pursuit, is often the first to cry, “stop thief!” and many a criminal before this day has appealed from the “persecution of my enemies.” But with what sort of face, Dear Sir, can you talk of “persecution?” What are the facts? Did you not leave it without compulsion? and in less than three days from your withdrawal, and before one word had been said or published about you, did you not commence a systematic, violent, and most vindictive assault upon the American party and its principles, the leading men in it, the object of its organization, and the whole machinery of its operations? And from that day to this, have you ever omitted an opportunity, day or night, publicly or privately, to do the same thing—using always the strongest and most offensive expressions of which you were master? And do you expect, therefore, that the members of that party, composed of natives of the soil exclusively, fully as patriotic as you can claim to be, and certainly as honest in their aspirations for the welfare of the country alone, as you are believed to be, would quietly submit to this, and that too, from one who had “sworn” to be their friend? The privilege of defending itself is allowed to the vilest worm that crawls in the dust, and how much more to the *American people*, whose only object is to defend themselves from the aggressions of aliens, and preserve the integrity of these States, and the safety of the Union.

In relation to the 2d point at issue between us, viz: “Are the facts charged upon you in that exposition true?”—we have to say, that you have neither denied the allegation that you voluntarily joined the order, voluntarily withdrew from it after the balloting for Congress had commenced, nor that you offered the nomination as the American candidate. I have heard him frequently say, that he was in favor of the principles of the party, and would abide the nomination made by them.

ROBT. C. MORRISON.  
JULY 12, 1855.

I saw A. G. TALBOT a day or two after he had made the proposition to Boyle Council, No. 77, to postpone the time of holding the Convention. He was on his way to Stanford and Crab Orchard. He stated to me that the Council had complied with his request, and he was then satisfied he would get the nomination as the American candidate. I have heard him frequently say, that he was in favor of the principles of the party, and would abide the nomination made by them.

Officers of the Association:  
President—A. G. TALBOT, of Boyle County;  
Vice Presidents—John Whelan, of Boyle;  
Dr. J. P. Maxwell, of Marion; John Dauidson, of Casey; J. W. Grigsby, of Lincoln; R. H. Robinson, of Garrard; Dr. Tomlinson, of Mercer; R. H. Paxton, of Anderson; S. C. Brown, of Washington.

Treasurer—Dr. J. R. WEISIGER, of Danville.

Secretary—Jno. A. AKIN, Danville.

Directors—J. S. Hopkins, I. P. Fisher, A. D. Meyer, C. H. Rochester and C. C. Moore, of Boyle; Wm. Thompson, of Mercer; J. S. Hoskins, of Garrard; D. W. Jones, of Lincoln.

JULY 12, 1855.

I was present in the Council on the evening of the 8th day of February, and heard Mr. A. G. TALBOT offer and read the resolutions attributed to him in the report of the Committee of Boyle Council, and advocate their adoption by the Council. He openly avowed himself in favor of American principles, and pledged himself to abide the nomination of a candidate for Congress, to be made by the American order. He spoke of him self as a candidate for the nomination before the order.

J. T. BOYLE.  
JUNE 26th, 1855.

To A. G. TALBOT, Esq.:  
The undersigned heard the speech made by you in Council, attributed to you in the report of the Committee of Boyle Council, heretofore published, in which you are represented upon the adoption of a Resolution amongst other things, directing a ballot to be taken for a Representation to Congress to be made by this district, to have made use of these remarks:—

That the principles of the American party you had sucked from your mother’s breast—that you were born with them, and would live and die by them—had you cherished them from your infancy, and that living or dying, you would adhere to them—that the progress of that party was onward, and was bound to be a glorious one—and that the allied powers of Europe, with Roman Catholicism at the head, could not prevent its overwhelming success—that you were neither a Democrat or Whig, but an American, and you could not and would not run as the candidate of any other than the American party—and that the questions involved were of more consequence than any or all which had hitherto divided the two great political parties.

And lastly, were you a candidate for the nomination before the order? This you do not deny in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils by which I could possibly infer that I would not have received the nomination in Boyle IF I HAD DESIRED IT,” thereby clearly undertaking to make the impression that you were not a candidate, but in your letter to “Dear Thwaites,” published some few weeks since, you had this expression—“There has been no test made in the Councils



